

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,368	07/08/2003	Atsushi Yagishita	04329.2340-01	7862
22852	7590 01/30/2004		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			SMITH, BRADLEY	
LLP 1300 I STREE	ET. NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20005		2824	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/614,368	YAGISHITA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	. 1 1				
	Bradley K Smith	2824	lW				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	op ars on the cover shet with the c	correspond nce address	;				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day is will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	i2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document application from the priority document application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13). Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document application from the prio	nts have been received. Into have been received in Applicate ority documents have been received in Applicate (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into of the certified copies not receive the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (irst sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (irst priority und	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional appl r in an Application Data ceived. and/or 121 since a spe	lication) Sheet. ecific				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/614,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to a device, classified in class 257, subclass 310.
- II. Claims 3-9, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 240.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made by implanting dopant ions to form the source and drain after the interlevel dielectric had be formed.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to David Longo on 1/20/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2824

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley K Smith whose telephone number is (571)272-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1869.

